

NAZI CHARGE CAUSES NO ALARM AT M. U.

President Middlebush Comments on Testimony That School Fosters Propaganda.

Columbia, Mo., Sept. 29.—(P)—President Frederick A. Middlebush said today he "was not alarmed" at charges the University of Missouri was one of many American colleges described as fostering nazi propaganda.

John C. Metcalfe of Chicago, who joined the German-American bund to study its operation, told a house committee on un-American activities in Washington yesterday two German exchange students at the University of Missouri were active in nazi work.

He described exchange students as "one of the most alarming ways of nazi propaganda."

"If the committee has some information not available to me I would be glad to know what it is," the president said.

Ellsabeth Noelle and Heinrich Haering, the two students referred to by Metcalf, lived in sorority and fraternity houses as provided for in the exchange, President Middlebush explained.

Professor John B. Wolf said no lectures on nazi Germany were given by him last year and that his trip to Europe was paid for by himself. Metcalfe told the house committee that Professor Wolf lectured on nazilism and "that it was reported" Wolf's trip "was paid for by the German government."

Frank L. Martin, dean of the school of journalism, said Miss Noelle attended classes in the school and wrote for "The Columbia Missourian," university daily, "but at no time did anything appear in the paper in the nature of nazi propaganda unless her name was signed to the communication. The Missourian is not a propagan-dist's paper and does not favor any certain country, group or individual."

WAY PAVED FOR TEST ON STATE DENTAL LAW

Kansas City, Sept. 29.—(P)—The way was paved here today for what attorneys said would be the first appellate court test of dental practice law passed by the last Missouri legislature.

Circuit Judge Thomas J. Seehorn sustained a defense demurrer alleging the state had not stated a sufficient cause of action in indicting Frank E. Cantrill, operator of a dental supply house, on charges of advertising and supplying artificial teeth and dental restoration without being registered to practice dentistry.

Judge Seehorn said he considered several phases of the law vague and that he had no precedent from an appellate court on which to base a ruling. The prosecutor's office announced an appeal to the Kansas City court of appeals.

Ask Doctor About This Ideal Way TO LOSE FAT

READ EVERY WORD!